


Remarks

On page 3 of the Office Action the Examiner objected to the specification for failure to fully comply with 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.821-1.825. In Applicants' Amendment and Reply, filed July 19, 2004, Applicants amended the specification and claims and indicated that a substitute Sequence Listing was provided. However, due to an oversight, Applicants omitted the substitute Sequence Listing. Applicants therefore submit herewith a substitute Sequence Listing. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.825(a), this submission includes no new matter. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.825(b), the paper copy of the Sequence Listing and the computer readable copy of the Sequence Listing submitted herewith in the above-captioned application are the same. Accordingly, the foregoing amendments to the specification do not introduce new matter, and their entry is respectfully requested.

Applicants believe that the specification, claims and Sequence Listing now fully comply with 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.821-1.825. Therefore, the objection has been overcome. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection are respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided. Prompt and favorable consideration of this Supplemental Reply is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.



Brian J. Del Buono
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 42,473

Date: July 21, 2004

1100 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-3934
(202) 371-2600

289804_1.doc